

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/987,775	12/09/1997	ACHIM GREFENSTEIN	47587/48070	6702
26474 NOVAK DRII	7590 05/21/200 CE DELUCA + QUIG		EXAM	IINER
1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005			KRUER, KEVIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	08/987,775	GREFENSTEIN	ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KEVIN R. KRUER	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>KEVIN R. KRUER</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Byrne</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 May 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative)	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Yutaka and Rosenau.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: No agreement with respethat, when Rosenau was utilized as the primary reference, claimed polycarbonate. The examiner also disagreed with (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	ect to patentability was reached Rosenau (NOT Yutaka) was applicant's argument that the Iments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that w	d. The examine relied upon to te rejections were a reed would rend	<u>r clarified</u> <u>ach the</u> redundant . er the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTELE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTROL OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INT	CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has alread OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	/Kevin R Kruer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17	704	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature if requi		

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)